

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING D	OATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/645,585	/645,585 08/22/2003		Yasushi Aoki	8038-1041	8228
466	.7590 09/16/2004			EXAMINER	
	THOMPSON		LAM, TUAN THIEU		
745 SOUTH 23RD STREET 2ND FLOOR ARLINGTON, VA 22202				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
71112111010111, 711 22202				2816	
				DATE MAILED: 00/16/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/645,585	AOKI, YASUSHI					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Tuan T. Lam	2816					
The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address					
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thin tiod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become AB	reply be timely filed by (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 0	1 October 2003.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
<ul> <li>5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6) Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7) Claim(s) 2-8 is/are objected to.</li> </ul>	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are rejected.						
Application Papers							
<ul> <li>9) The specification is objected to by the Exam</li> <li>10) The drawing(s) filed on 22 August 2003 is/ar</li> <li>Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr</li> <li>11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the</li> </ul>	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ ob the drawing(s) be held in abeyar rection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the p application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a least	ents have been received. ents have been received in A riority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/	Paper No(s	Summary (PTO-413) S)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Objections

1. Claim 5 is objected to because of the following informalities: the recitation of "ample" is supposed to be --sample--. Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lee et al. (USP 6,507,224) in view of Boerstler et al. (USP 5,821,809). Figure 3 of Lee et al. shows a latch circuit comprising a sample section (64, 66) activated during a sample period by a sample signal (CLK2B at logic high, CLK2, complement of CLK2B, at logic low, pass gates 64 and 66 are on) to sample a Vref and Vi, to deliver said Vref and Vi through a pair of sample output nodes (OUT, OUTB), a latch section (94) activated during a hold period by a hold signal (CLK2 at logic high) to latch said Vref and Vi through said sample output nodes, to deliver said Vref and Vi through a pair of latch output (OUT\_TB, OUT\_CB) nodes, said sample signal (CLK2B) and said hold signal (CLK2) occurring alternately with each other (CLK2B and CLK2 are complementary each other), a precharge section (86, 88) for precharing said latch output nodes during sample period (when CLK2 is logic low).

The difference seen between Lee et al. and the present invention is that Lee et al.'s input

signals are Vref and Vi instead of a pair of complementary data signals as called for in claim 1. Figure 2 of Boerstler et al. shows a differential amplifier for amplifying the difference between Vref and Vin. Boerslter et al. also further teaches that Vref and Vin can also be a complementary differential signals (column 3, lines 57-61) and the operation of the differential amplifier would not change. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to use differential input signals instead of Vref and Vi in circuit arrangement of Lee et al. without altering the operation of the latch circuit as taught by Boerslter et al. Thus, outside of an non-obvious results, the obviousness of replace Lee et al.'s input signals with a complementary data signals will not be patentable under 35USC 103(a).

Page 3

#### Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 2-8 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tuan T. Lam whose telephone number is 571-272-1744. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday (7:30 am to 6:00pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, TIMOTHY P CALLAHAN can be reached on 571-272-1740. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306. Application/Control Number: 10/645,585

Art Unit: 2816

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tuan T. Lam Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2816

9/9/2004